G. R. S. 58
Previous references.

File No. 188 – 05

1905 56

Serial Nos. 1—4; 4, 15, 16, 17 & 18

(Gen: Sec.)

Later references

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1—4, 4, 15, 16. A, 17 & 18.

Part B. for November 07.

SUBJECT.

Management of the estates mortgaged to
by Mr. Sheshe Rama Rao on account of the loan
granted to him and the claims of the fema
members of his family to maintenance allowa

---

1) From the D.C. Mysore. No 668 & 23.12.05
(D. 3108).

Reports on the petition of Radhabhai, daughter
-law of Mr. Sheshe Rama Rao, praying for
maintenance allowances Rs 10 of a year
of the income of the Inam villages
under Govt. management.

Petition from Ambabai, daughter of
Bakshi Sima Rao, & 4.2.06
Prays for the payment of allowances
due to her and of the outstanding arrea
from the realisations of the Inam vi

GPB ..8,000—7-4-07

To the Deputy Commr Mysore. No. H 5112 9.10.06

Forwards the enclosures received with Serial (1), and also the petition of Ambabai serial (2), for report in detail on the several claims.

From the D.C. Mysore. No. 0209 (D. 4203) 9.10.06

Submits the report called for in the above.

To the D.C. Mysore District;
and D.C. Bangalore —

No. H. 2585 6 9 17.9.06.

Observes that the grand daughters of the late Bakshi Siva Rao have claim to the annuities to which their mothers (daughters of Siva Rao) were entitled to and that the only question is whether the daughters of the deceased Tulsi Bayi and Sannamma are the proper heirs of their mothers or whether the deceased ladies have left preferential heirs and requests the D.C. Mysore to cause enquiries to be made on the subject through the D.C. of Bangalore in which district the parties reside and to report the result and in case of such to inform the parties that their claims to the annuities cannot be recognised in the absence of a certificate of succession in their favour from a competent court, and as regards the petitioner Rathabhaya widow of Meersahib Ranna Rao deceased son states that there are no grounds whatever on her part against the net revenue of the Jaghir lands under the management of Govt. and that she will be informed accordingly.

From the D.C. (D. No. 664) No 89 9.10.06,

Submits a Cowcab tree showing that Sannamma has left behind her one Son and three daughters and Thulasi Bayee an only daughter

G. R. S. 11] 1905-6

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Gen: Fin:)

NOTES.

File. No 188-05

FILE HEADING.

management of the estates mortgaged to Govt: by Musahib Rama Rao on account of the loan granted to him & the claims of the female members of his family to maintenance allowance.

From

The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore

No. 663 Dated 23.12.05. Received 25.1.06.

Diary No. 3108 | Enclos. 4 | Spare Copies. | Serial No. 1.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Reports on the petition of Radhabai, daughter-in-law of Musahib Rama Rao, claiming a maintenance allowance of Rs: 110 a year, out of the income of the Inam villages placed under Govt: management.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Certain Inam villages were granted to the late Bakshi Bheema Rao by His Highness the late Maharaja Krishna Raja Wadayar. He left two sons behind him. Musahib Rama Rao & Bakshi Siva Rao. Siva Rao had during his

NOTES—First sheet.

MGCPB—30,000—17-2-0

Serial No.

life time, mortgaged his share of the Inam villages to his private debtors. His wife therefo in order to clear off the existing encumbrances sold her husband's share of the Inam villages to Musaheb Rama Rao, her brother-in-law, for a N + O. Sum of Rs: 16000/=, Subject to the maintenance of Brahmadaya, + Devadaya attached to the villages as also to the payment of annuities due to her daughters Thulasi Bai, Amba Bai, + Saramamma. These annuities are fixed at

Bheema Rao.

Rs: 204, to Thulasi Bai
Rs: 220, to Amba Bai
+ Rs: 220, to Saramamma.

Siva Rao. Rama Rao.

Thulasi Bai. Amba Bai. Saramamma.

Venkata Bai. 3 daughters.

644 Total.

2) Rama Rao has in his turn obtained from
S Govt: a loan of Rs: 22,000 plus 3,000 or Rs: 25,000 on
X. the security of the Inam villages etc: According to the original intentions of Govt:, the maintenance of these villages was to be with Govt: But the Govt had allowed them to remain with the debtor himself till now 1902 when by an order of Govt: they were taken over under Govt: management. The Inamdar has again applied
Z. for an additional loan of Rs: 5000/= and the matter is being dealt with separately in the linked file R. Dis 51-97.

3) As the villages, out of the revenues of which the annuities are to be paid to [illegible] are now under Govt: Manage

GPB—50,000—23 10·05

Serial No.

they are making petitions to Govt.

Radhabai the present claimant, claims the arrears of maintenance allowance due to her, out of the additional loan intended to be given to Rama Rao. She further prays for the payment of the annual maintenance amount in future, out of the annual income of the Inam villages.

If her claim is to be admitted, then the amount of these annuities will be:—

Rs: 204-6-0 to Venkubai.
Rs: 220-0-0. to Amba bai.
Rs: 220-0-0. to Saiamamma's daughter
Rs: 110-0-0 to Radhabai.

Rs: 754-6-0.

In forwarding further orders of Govt: the petitions of Radhabai & Venkubai the Sy Govt States that Similar petitions from Ambabai & Saiamamma's daughter were disposed of by him with an endorsement that their claims could not be met until the Govt dues are settled.

2) The Sy Govt's mode of disposal is not quite Satisfactory. Radhabai does not stand on the same footing as the other

Serial No.

T. & V. female members of Bhakshi Siva Rao's family are. The latter's interests are definitely assigned & secured by the Inamati grants out of the Inam villages. Whatever claims Radhabai may

M & V. have for maintenance against her father-in-law, they are wholly personal.

Govt: have, in a way, admitted the claims of Siva Rao's daughters for annuities. In G.O: No: 339-41 of the 6.6.93 in which a loan of Rs: 22,000 was granted, the total receipts of the villages are estimated at Rs: 40991/=, out of which a sum of Rs: 750 was set apart on account of annuities to Brahmins & female members.

Vide also, the S/ judgt of the Dist Court in Appeal (Secs) No: 116 of 1885.

P. Again, in their order No: 3866-67 of the 29.10.97 granting a further loan of Rs: 30001/=, Govt: discharged the claims of Saraswamma's daughters for arrears of maintenance to the extent of Rs: 880.

4) From the above, it will appear that the claims of the female members of Siva Rao's family - not of Radhabai - are of the nature of a legitimate charge on the Inam villages now under Govt: management, and that their claims should first be satisfied before the

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Serial No.

could apply the realizations of the villages towards the loan & interest.
Submitted for orders.

K.S 27.1.06. In the Case of the other members of the family of Venku Boye Lakshmi Boye, amba Boye and Samamma (it seems that the Civil Courts have awarded Item 1 the allowance claimed and made it a charge upon the Inam Villages, before they were mortgaged to Government) In the Case of Ratha Boye, no such thing has been done and the Government therefore as mortgagees are under no obligation to pay her maintenance, however strong her claim for it may be against her father in-law muthi Ramaswamy. Venkata Boye Hthere may be asked to produce any evidence she may have, which proves, that her maintenance has been made a charge upon the Inam Villages.

wd

So much of them as are entitled to maintenance under a decree of the Civil Court the same will have to be continued to them. In regard to others

Gen Fin File No. 188 of 1905-06

Serial No.

1) Is Sankara Bai the wife?
2) Were daughters an Krishna Rao the adoption referred to in the Court letter?
3) Is the maintenance or its continuance recommended for favour? What about the sons of the three daughters? Have they claim post from Radhabai explain? Upon what principle does the maintenance? If three others has raised is it legal?

if it be decided that the maintenance which was allowed to them before the estate passed over post management should be continued, a detailed report should be obtained as to the allowances enjoyed by them and the period up to which it was ~~enjoyed~~ enjoyed. Please bring this up to me in person.

30.1.06.

a copy of the Government may be obtained

The claims of Sankara Bai and Ambu Bai should be admitted if the Civil Court has awarded them maintenance. The claim of Sannamma's daughters has been virtually recognized by Government in Fin. No 3866-67 dated the 29 Oct 97. The claim of Radha Bai to a maintenance from the proceeds of the land has now been settled. Her father-in-law has no right to grant her maintenance. But whether such a maintenance should necessarily be awarded out of the proceeds of the land is a point which requires investigation.

submitted for orders

1.2.06.

Note—Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—23 10-05

Note continued.

Serial No 2)

Petition, from Amba Bai, daughter of Bakshi Siva Rao, of the 4.2.06.

Prays for the payment of allowance due to her & of the outstanding arrears, from the realizations of the Inam villages.

This petition gives a clear account of the claim to which the 3 daughters of Siva Rao appear to have a title. Her allegations are as follow:—

i) That their title was created by a deed of 1876, executed by Parvatibai in their favor, in pursuance of Siva Rao's Command, on account of their services.

ii) That each of the daughters of Siva Rao were, ever since receiving Rs 250 per annum till the villages were sold to Munshi Rao in 1881, from which year, Munshi Rama Rao was paying them the said allowance, as the villages were sold to them subject to the payment of the allowances.

iii) That, as the Govt assumed the management of the villages two years ago, the arrears of allowance due to her for the past two years & the allowances for future years may be ordered to be paid out of the realizations of the village—

submitted for orders.

K.S. 6/2/06

N. is clear from the present petition that all the claimants except Rasha Bayi derive their title to allowances under a deed of gift executed by their

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—23 10-05

Serial No.

mother in their favour in 1876. It would also seem that in one instance, this title went through fire in a Civil Court. So the deed of 1876 and the judgment and decree of the Court which dealt with the suit will tell us what the rights of the petitioners are in the mortgaged villages and how they have been construed in a Court of law. Either the petitioners themselves may be asked to produce the documents above mentioned or the Deputy Comr may be asked for a report based on the above papers.

Yes
7.2.1906

U
7-206

6.2.06.

Subd Secy for orders.
U
6.206

Subd with a draft Rudt.

R.S.
10/2/06.

Rect. 12-2-06

Rupts subd Secy
U
13.206

Issued
14.2.1906
U
14-206

To the Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District

GPB—50,000—23 10-05

Serial No.

3 To the Dy Comr of the Mysore District-
no H 5112 dated the 15/2/06
Forwards the enclosures received with Serial no 1 and also the petition from Amba Bai - Serial 2 for a report in detail on the several claims urged therein

4 From the Dy Comr of the Mysore District-
D(Misc) no 1209 of the 21/5/06
Submits the report called for in the above.

Office note of the 27th January 1906

above gives a detailed history of the case.

The genealogical tree furnished by the Dy Comr clearly shows the relationship of the female members of the family to each other and the original grantor.

G.O no 339.41 of the 6/6/93 sanctioning a loan of Rs 22,000 to Musahib Ramarao on the security of his Inam Villages also made provision for the due payment of the annuities to the female members of the family. And long before this in January 1896, their right for the payment of the annuity was in the case of Amba Bai strictly affirmed by the Chief Court-who also at the same time defined the proprietary character of the right these female members had over the land in virtue of the grant. So in 1893

GPB—50,000—23 10-05

Serial No.

Government could only have taken over these lands subject to this prior charge as indeed the G.O referred to above clearly shows.

The original grantees were the three daughters of Siva Rao, of whom there is now only one Amba Bai, alive. Both the daughters of the other two claim along with Amba Bai for the payment of annuities enjoyed by their mother. It is not at all clear how these can claim regardless of any sons the deceased may have left. The Original deed of gift is very liberal in its terms. The grant seems to contemplate the ordinary course of descent, sons and grandsons. Even the G.O no 3566-67 ✓ Fl 350 of the 29/10/97 which affirms the rights of the minor heirs of the deceased daughter Sarasamma is not very clear. Both the deed of gift and the G.O do not make any special reservation in favour of daughters alone. Both it seems also to be worthy of consideration that the original grant was for the fulfilment of certain requirements of female members only and as such the grant may be construed to have been made only to female

Serial No.

members. The Dy Comr however reports that although notices were served on these members for the hearing of their claims none of them presented themselves and that they want to have the enquiry made at Bangalore ~~also~~ where they are residing. The Deputy Comr of Bangalore may be asked to submit a report on the point.

As regards Radhabai's claim for an annual allowance of ~~Rs~~ 110 towards her maintenance, the Dy Comr reports that it has nothing to do with the Revenues of the Inam villages. It seems to have been only a matter of private arrangement between marhatha Rama Rao and his daughterinlaw Radha Bai. The matter may be settled in a civil court. May she be informed accordingly.

Submitted for orders.

2/11/06. The G.O sanctioning the loan recognises the right of Siva Rao's Daughters to the annuities. Of the three Daughters

GPB—50,000—23 10-05

Serial No.

of Siva Row namely Amba Boye Tulsa Boye and Samamma, only one Amba Boye is now living and of her right to the annuity there can be no question. The other two Daughters are dead and their Daughters Venku Boye and others lay claim to the annuities of their mothers. The High Court have construed the grant to her daughters by Siva Row's wife as an alienation of "the full and complete proprietory right" in the landed properties and the gift being clearly Stridhan, the right of the daughters of the grantee i.e. cannot be denied. But whether the succession to the properties devolves on the daughters only to the entire exclusion of the sons depends upon the nature of the Stridhan. Branch III may be consulted on the point.

As regards the claim of Rama Row's daughter-in-law Radha Boyee, the Govt are not bound

Yes
Sum
6-6-06

GPB—50,000—23 10-05

]
l and Revenue
Secretariat.

Gl: Jin File No. 188 of 190 5

(13)

Serial No.

to recognise it:

my 5.6.06

To Branch III as per asst Secy's orders

my 6.6.06.

J. Inis 2nd
6.6.06

Subd
SW 7-6-06
7.6.06

GPB—50,000—23 10-05

P-2-c

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

G.C. Jm. File No. 188 of 1905

Serial No.

Branch III.

I have gone through the papers and submit the following observations:-

First. Re the family of the claimants.

Bakshi Bheema Row the original inamdar died leaving him surviving his two sons — Bakshi Siva Row and Mutsaleb Rama Row. After the partition of the family estate, Bakshi Siva Row died leaving his widow Parvati Bayee and his 3 daughters — Tulsi Bayee, Amba Bayee and Sannamma.

Amba Bayee is alive. Tulsi Bayee and Sannamma died; the former leaving one daughter — Venku Bayee; and the latter, 3 daughters — Krishna Bayee, Kamala Bayee and Venku Bayee. &

Re 10 9.

The present claimants of certain annuities to be hereinafter referred to, are

(a) Amba Bayee
(b) the 3 daughters of the deceased Sannamma
(c) the 1 daughter of the deceased Tulsi Bayee:

the daughter and the grand-daughters, respectively, of the late Bakshi Siva Row.

Mutsaleb Rama Row is alive; and his widowed daughter in law — Radha Bayee, also, claims an annuity.

GPB—50,000—23-10-05

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

G.C. Fin File No. 188 of 1905

Serial No.

Secondly: Re the Estate.

In 1818 Inam Lands consisting of 5 villages were granted to Bakshi Bheema Row as Rakta Kodagi (Reward for valour and victory on a battle field) by His Highness the late Maha Raja Krishna Raja Wadiyar Bahadur. Of the entirety of the Inam estate the share of Bakshi Siva Row comprised 3 villages. He was largely indebted during life; and his debts on the mortgage of his portion of the Inam Lands amounted when he died to Rs. 12000 odd. He died in December 1864. His widow Parvati Bayee contracted after his death a further debt of Rs 4000 to meet the expenses of her daughters' marriages and the maintenance of the family generally. The total debt for repayment by Parvati Bayee was thus Rs. 16000 odd.

The interest for repayment having become very great, Parvati Bayee on her own responsibility raised several new loans to pay old debts. This process of adjustment by the creation of fresh obligations went on from 1869 to 1881—the year in which Parvati Bayee finally sold her husband's share of the family Inam Lands to pay up the whole debt.

On November 29, 1876 (12 years after Bakshi Siva Row's death); while his debts were as her own, still remained unsatisfied; Parvati Bayee executed in favour of her 3 daughters a deed of gift transferring to each of them a piece of land out of ~~her~~ Bakshi

GPB—50,000—23-10-05

Serial No.

Siva Row's share of the Inam villages.

As Bakshi Siva Row died divided and leaving no male issue, his estate inam as well as other; would of course go to his daughters after the death of his widow Parvati Bayee in the natural line of succession prescribed by Hindu Law; and the transfer of the whole or any part of the estate through the medium of gifts made in their favour was obviously supererogatory. While they would inherit the whole on their mother's death by birth, they acquired only a part by gift during her life. But the family liabilities were equal to the assets; and, in the fear of a total wreck of the family estate, an attempt was made to create some enduring provision for the daughters of Bakshi Siva Row. The result was a success.

Hon T

Under this deed of gift, the share of each donee is expressly mentioned as being a parcel of land of specified metes and bounds having an annual being income of Rs 250 to her, but subsequently reduced to Rs. 204, 220 and 220, respectively to Tulsi Bayee, Amba Bayee and Yamunamma; its enjoyment is to be absolute, its alienation unfettered, and its devolution in her line unrestricted.

On the 31st January 1881 (5 years subsequent to the date of the instrument of gift), Parvati Bayee sold to Muskee Rama Rao all the 3 Inam villages inherited by her husband, along with some other

Serial No.

property for a consideration of Rs. 16000; with the exception of the "Dewadayam, of ~~Brahma~~ Bramhadayam and of the grant (inamati) to my daughters, Tulsi Bayee, Amba Bayee and Sannamma." A sale-deed bearing the same date was executed, to which Parvati Bayee and Musaleb Rama Row were the parties and by which the inam villages conveyed were rendered expressly servient to the newly-created proprietary rights of the late Bakshi Siva Row's daughters.

The nature of the Estate created by this instrument of gift became a subject of dispute in the civil courts of the State. The chief court, in Second appeal No. 116 of 1885, in which Musaleb Rama Row was appellant (defendant) and Amba Bayee respondent (plaintiff), remarked that what was passed to Bakshi Siva Row's daughters by that instrument was, not a little to an annuity or money grant, but the "full and complete proprietary right in certain landed properties" which formed Siva Row's portion of the inam Estate.

Flag V

The highest tribunal of the State having recognized the character as well as

GPB—50,000—23-10-05

Serial No.

the validity of the new estate created by this deed of gift, the question of the legal competency of Parvati Bayee, a Hindu widow with qualified ownership, to make a gift (alleged in the deed to have been made in accord with the commands of her husband dead 12 years before the date) of a portion of the immoveable property inherited by him, or of the question of its necessity in the circumstances in which the entirety would in due course have been inherited by the donees, is set at rest.

The rights arising under this deed of gift in favour of the 3 daughters of Bakshi Sivaram were recognised by Government on two occasions:-

(a) in camp No. 339 — 41 dated Mysore 6th June 1893, and

Flag X S

(b) in No. 3866-67 / H. 380 dated 29-10-97.

Flag P,

The first order sanctioned a loan to Mr. Sakharam Rama Row of Rs. 22000 on the first mortgage of the Jahgir villages belonging to him and of those purchased by him from Parvati Bayee subject to the claims of her daughters created by the deed of gift. In calculating the net annual revenue of the total inam lands mortgaged, first being applied towards the liquidation of this debt, Government expressly reserved, among other items of necessary expenditure, a sum of Rs. 750 as "annuities" to Brahmans and

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—23-10-05

Serial No.

and female members of the family. As the mortgaged properties were subject to the proprietary rights of Sivarama Parvati Bayee's daughters, Musahab Ramarao owned not only Government as by way security for the loan an absolute right but when he had only a conditional one to those properties.

The Second order Sanctions the payment of a Sum of Rs. 880 as being arrears of annuities & due and payable to the 3 daughters of the deceased Sannamma, 3rd daughter of Parvati Bayee.

In the Second appeals referred to herein before, the claim, under the deed of gift, of the donee alone — Amba Bayee — was a matter in issue and was definitively decided. The claim of Venku Bayee daughter of the deceased Tulsi Bayee, bears the same character as do the claims of the deceased Sannamma's daughters.

What was transfered absolutely to each of her 3 daughters by Parvati Bayee through gift, is inherited absolutely by their own issue under the Hindu Law. A gift under the Hindu Law can only be to a person who must be in existence either in fact or in the contemplation of Law and must be capable of taking at the time.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—23-10-05

Serial No.

to burden an estate with an annuity restricted to the female descendants of a Hindu donor, would be to create a new estate unknown to, or to alter the line of succession prescribed by, the Hindu Law of inheritance. It could only be done by special legislation.

In the case of all the grand daughters of the late Bakshi Sivarow and Parvati Bayee, who are now the present claimants, their claims to the annuities in the absence of male issue must be deemed to have been inherited by them from their mothers.

It is not quite clear from the papers whether any of the deceased daughters of Parvati Bayee left any male along with female issue. If so, the sons would exclude their sisters.

It appears therefore that Government cannot successfully resist the claims of Amba Bayee, & Venku Bayee (daughter of deceased Tulsi Bayee) and the 3 daughters of deceased Sannamma, — claims to be paid their annuities from the income of the villages under the management of Government.

The claim of Radha Bayee daughter in law of Murlidhar Ramarow is a matter for settlement between themselves or in a court of law; it cannot affect the prior right of Government to the fully paid the

GPB—50,000—23-10-05

G.R.S. 12j

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Gen: Fin: File No. 188 of 1905-6.

Serial No.

Repayment of the loans granted to Musaheb Rama Row.

RRL 4.6.06 I shall not add to the bulk of these notes. Under the decree, the Govt can deduct the cost of management from the amounts due to the daughters, & this may be kept in view.

If the Dy Commr entertains doubts as to the exclusive right of any of the granddaughters to claim what was due to their mother, he may ask them to produce a certificate of heirship. No orders can be passed by Govt in such matters & on imperfect materials.

30.6.06

Serial No.

Submitted with the accompanying note received from Branch III for orders.

K.S. 3/7/06

Subd to Secy for orders. 1376 5/7

I have put up a separate which briefly explains the facts This may be approved

Dewan 25.7.06

1593 24.7.06

Draft approved by Dewan

CSB 14/9/06

Note—Contn. sheet :

GPB—50,000—23-10-05

S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Gen Fin File No. 188 of 1905-06

Serial No. 7

To the Dy Comr, Mysore Dt; To D.C. Bangalore Dist
No: H 2335-6 D 17.9.06

Observes that the grand daughters of the late Bakshi Siva Rao have claim to the annuities to which their mothers (daughters of Siva Rao) were entitled & that the only question is whether the daughters of the deceased Tulsi Bayi and Sannamma are the proper heirs of their mothers or whether the deceased ladies have left preferential heirs & requests the Dy Comr, Mysore to Cause enquiries to be made on the subject through the Dy Comr of Bangalore in which District the parties reside & to report the result & in Case of doubt to inform the parties that their claims to the annuities paid to their mothers Cannot be recognized in the absence of a certificate of succession in their favour from a Competent civil court, and as regards the petitioner Radha Bayi, widow of Musaheb Rama Rao's deceased son states that there are no grounds whatever for recognizing the existe of any claim on her part as against the net revenues of the inam lands under the manage ~~gen~~ ment of Govt and that She will be informed accordingly.

Note—Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—23 10-05

Serial No. 15. From the deputy Tahsildar, No: 89 of the 10 8.07 D. 669

Submits a genealogical tree showing that Saunamma has left behind her one Son + three daughters + Thulasi Bayi an only daughter by name Venkubayi alias Puttamma.

(1) The subjoined is the genealogy tree furnished by the deputy Tahsildar



(2) The above genealogy is substantiated by the statements of Ambabayi, Srinivasa Rao + Narayana Rao (manager of the Estates belonging to Saunamma's daughters).

(3) In his statement furnished in Sankara sastri's claim, Mr. Rama Rao admits that these ladies are entitled to the annuities described below: —

Venkubayi = Rs 204/6/-
Amba Bayi = Rs. 220/-
Saunamma's daughters = 220/-

(4) These villages came to Govt. management 3 years ago, in Nov. 1904, + the annuities are in arrears for three years.

(5) In the linked file, the Carstairs office is

GPB—50,000—25-2-07

Serial No.

is asked to state the total amount credited to the loan account. The reply may be awaited.

K.S. 31.8.07.

yes. The linked file referred to the Asst Comptr

S.A.D. 2.9.7

Submitted.

It is reported that, against the loan of Rs: 24,843-13-1, the total credit up to the end of 1903-4 is Rs: 8,124-14-10 + that for the 3 years during which the villages were under the management of Govt, Rs: 10,432-2-6, or in all Rs: 18,557-1-4

K.S. 5.9.07.

The Dy Commr reports on the point raised in para 2 of G.O. dt 17-9-06 having been recd Brii is requested to state if payment can be authorised and if so to whom. The payment will be org for the years during which the Estate has been under Govt management

6.9.

GPB—50,000—25.2-07

Serial
No.

J Mis 48

5/18 The office note dated the 16th June 1906 gives a full and connected history of the case. The claimants to the annuities, - their relationship to the original grantor and the law on the point are there briefly given.

Hay V The Chief Court having decided that the right conveyed to the daughters of Balkhi Siva Rao under the deed of gift

Hay T executed by their mother Parvati Bai in 1876 was the "full and complete proprietary right" in the landed properties, the subject of the gift, it only remained to be considered whether there were any preferential heirs to the said claimants for the annuities in question. The matter was referred to the

Hay D Deputy Comrs of Bangalore and Mysore who were requested to make requisite enquiries and to inform the parties concerned, in case of disputed succession that no claim could be recognised in the absence of a certificate of Succession.

It now appears from the Mysore Deputy Comrs letter that one of the deceased ladies Sannama has left not

Send 15 Cabove

GPB—50,000—25-2-07

Serial No.

only their Daughters who now claim the annuity which was being paid to their mother but also a Son by name N. Srinivasa Rao. The appearance of this male heir seems to greatly affect the rights of the several parties very materially.

The estate that was made over to Sannamma by her mother being absolute in character, it does not seem that the male heir can be excluded in preference to female heirs under Hindu Law. Then if the said Srinivasa Rao will take before the Daughters of Sannamma Viz Krishna Boyee, Kamala Boyee, Venka Boyee (referred to in the genealogical tree received with the Dy Comrs letter). If this is so, the discharge of the claim of Rs 880 as being due to the said daughters of Sannamma on account of arrears of annuity, authorised by Govt in their G.O. no 3866-7 dated 29. 10. 1897 does not seem to be quite within the law. This amount may have to be recovered from them and paid to the said Srinivasa Rao.

Hag P, 1x

Rs 380

These two questions however for the present set at rest by Srinivasa Rao himself who in his written statement

Hag N

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Serial No.

Q 1.8.07 states that for the present he is agreeable to the annuities being paid to the female members. But he is careful to state that he reserves the final adjudication of his rights in this respect to the civil court. Government cannot therefore very much rely upon the assurances of this claimant who is liable to exclude the female members at any moment. It would be safe therefore to call for a certificate of succession from the daughters of Samamma as suggested in S.O. No. 2336 Q 17th Sept 1906.

Hay P

As regards the other two claimants Vz. Amba Bayee, and Venkubayee the only daughter of Bonthoki Siva Rao, that is now alive and Venkubayee, daughter of Srilari Bayee another of the daughters of Siva Rao, there is no difficulty. The right of the former has been affirmed by the Civil Court and may be admitted. Venku Bayee's claim may also be recognised to her mother's annuity as there is no male heir to exclude her. The cost of management of the share of the Estate of these claimants may be deducted

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Serial No.

from their annuities as suggested in note dated 30.6.06 on page 21 of the 1906 S

Subd for orders

Mrs SN 27/6/07

27/6/07 28

As Mrs Seenivasa Rao has consented to the payment ~~of~~ to his sisters of the amounts claimed by them, the annuity due to Saunamma may be paid to them.

In respect of the other two claimants Amba Bayi and Venkam Bayi, there is no ~~dispute~~ dispute or doubt.

Br II 28.9.07. KWS

Submitted.

K.S. 30.9.07.

Draft authorising payment.
mm 31-10-07

mr 1/10.

Submitted 5-10-07.

Issue 15/10/7

mr

16A

To the Comptroller & D. to. Mysore
No: H 1887-8 D 25/10/07
States that from the geniological tree furnished by the Deputy Comr

GPB—50,000—25-2-07

Serial No.

of the Mysore Dist it is seen that Claimant Venkuboi is the only daughter and sole heir of the deceased Thulasi Boyi & that Sannamma has left behind her three daughters and one son (Mr T.K Srinivasa Rao) and as Mr Srinivasa Rao has Consented to the payment to his sisters of the annuity due to Sannamma Authorises the Dy Comr to disburse out of the sum of Rs 750 reserved out of the income of the estate on account of annuities for Brahmins & female members of the family the sum of Rs 644-6-0 as detailed below for each of the three years during which the villages have been under the management of Govt and also in future

1 Venkuboi Rs 204-6-0
2 Ambaboi Rs 220-0-0
3 Sannamma's three daughters 220-0-0
Rs 644-6-0

To Ambaboi
No: H 1689 dy 26-10-07
Informs her that the D.C. Mysore has been requested to pay as ordered above.

NOTE.—Contn sheet.

GPB—50,000—17-3-07

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 190

Serial No.

17 From the D. C. Mysore. No 290 of 14.11.07. States that the annuities due to Sreekanta Sastry and Ambabai will be paid ~~to~~ after the ensuing collection season begins as the realizations of the Inam village has been credited towards the liquidation of the loan.

The direction given in the order of the 24.10.07, No: R: 1816-7, was that the annuity due should be paid at a very early date. If the realizations of the Current year are to be awaited, it will be another 4 or 6 months for the Board to pay the amt. But there is no representation on part of the petitioners to have the payts made earlier.

Submitted. There are payments K.S. which the petitioners 21/11/07. are entitled to by law.

Yes. But what can be done now when the D.C. says there are no funds available - We shall await the representations from the claimants. Whether still ask the D.C. to inform the claimants that their claims will be

NOTE.—Contn sheet.

GPB—50,000—17-3-07

Serial
No.

paid as paid each next year after the collection begin.

180
22.4.7

Submitted with a draft
K.S
23.11.07.

Issued 25.11.7

To The Board No H: 2529 of the 27.11.07.
Requests him to inform the claimants
that the money due to them will be paid
after the ensuing Collection Season begins.

received on
from H.C.
11/1/06

H.M.S.62-05-1. 03108

6449 1 11 06
Rev mu 5/1/1906 5.06 R.M. V.M.

From H H Sparks Esqr
Deputy Commissioner
Nagpore Division

To B K Nelea Sawaradnyaik
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
General Revenue Department

Dated 23.12.05

Despatched 3-1-06

Received

General No. 663

No.

Enclosure No. 4

Submits report on the
petition of Radhabai, daughter-in-law of Musaheb Ramabhau

Deputy Commissioner's Office

No 663
Cc no 4

Mysore District.

Dated 23rd December 1905.

To

B. K. Venkata Varda Iyengar Esqr.
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
General and Revenue Deptt.

Sir

Adverting to your office
memorandum no: R 6881-Rem 1-05=43
dated 7th instant forwarding for
disposal a petition (herewith resub-
mitted) from Radhabai, daughter
-in-law of Musaib Rama Rao
Bheemaji regarding the payment
of her maintenance from the
income of the Inam villages of
the family now under Government
management I have the honor
to state as follows:-

Previous to the receipt of the

petition under reference two other petitions were received viz one from G Narayana Row residing at Chamarajapet, Bangalore, on behalf of (1) Krishna Bai (2) Kamala Bai and (3) Venkamii Bai granddaughters of Bukshee Siva Rao, and the other one from Amba Bai claiming similar allowances from the Inam villages in question, but an endorsement to the effect that their claims could not be met until the Government dues had been settled was given to these 2 petitioners.

3. The marginally noted villages belonging to Musahib Rama Rao were to be taken under Government management as per orders conveyed in the Government General and Revenue Secretary

Ramanamoor } of the Nanjangud Taluq
Kallahalli }

Maddur
Hittiballi } of the Mysore Taluq
Mandanahalli }

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಸಿಇಒ/ಜಿಪಂ/ಕಾಮಗಾರಿ/ಎಸ್‌ಎಫ್‌ಸಿ/ಎಂಎಲ್‌ಎ/ಎಲ್‌ಎಡ್ಸ್/2020-21

ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಾಹಕ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಕಛೇರಿ
ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಪಂಚಾಯತ್
ಬಳ್ಳಾರಿ

ದಿನಾಂಕ: 18.7.2020

ಅನುಮೋದನೆ

ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಾಹಕ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು
ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಪಂಚಾಯತ್
ಬಳ್ಳಾರಿ

ವಿಷಯ: ಶಾಸಕರ ಸ್ಥಳೀಯ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ಯೋಜನೆಯಡಿ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ.

ಉಲ್ಲೇಖ: ಮಾನ್ಯ ಶಾಸಕರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಜೆ.ಎನ್. ಗಣೇಶ್ ರವರ ಪತ್ರ ದಿನಾಂಕ 21.07.2020

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ವಿಷಯ ಮತ್ತು ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಶಾಸಕರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಜೆ.ಎನ್. ಗಣೇಶ್ ರವರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಪತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೋರಿರುವಂತೆ ಕಂಪ್ಲಿ ವಿಧಾನಸಭಾ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರದ ಸಂಡೂರು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಶಾಸಕರ ಸ್ಥಳೀಯ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ಯೋಜನೆಯಡಿ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಅನುಮೋದನೆ ನೀಡಿದೆ.

ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ. | ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯ ವಿವರ | ಅಂದಾಜು ಮೊತ್ತ (ರೂ.ಲಕ್ಷಗಳಲ್ಲಿ)

1. ಸಂಡೂರು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಕೆಂಚಮ್ಮನಹಳ್ಳಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಿಸಿ ರಸ್ತೆ ಮತ್ತು ಚರಂಡಿ ನಿರ್ಮಾಣ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿ. 10.00

2. ಸಂಡೂರು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಕೆಂಚಮ್ಮನಹಳ್ಳಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಿಸಿ ರಸ್ತೆ ಮತ್ತು ಚರಂಡಿ ನಿರ್ಮಾಣ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿ. 10.00

3. ಸಂಡೂರು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಕೆಂಚಮ್ಮನಹಳ್ಳಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಿಸಿ ರಸ್ತೆ ಮತ್ತು ಚರಂಡಿ ನಿರ್ಮಾಣ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿ. 10.00

4. ಸಂಡೂರು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಕೆಂಚಮ್ಮನಹಳ್ಳಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಿಸಿ ರಸ್ತೆ ಮತ್ತು ಚರಂಡಿ ನಿರ್ಮಾಣ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿ. 10.00

ಒಟ್ಟು 40.00

ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಾಹಕ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು
ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಪಂಚಾಯತ್
ಬಳ್ಳಾರಿ.

Deputy Collector
Bellary

Found in the Petn Box

6/8/06

592

Allowed

ਪੰਜਾਬੀ ਸਾਹਿਤ ਅਕਾਦਮੀ

ਪ੍ਰਸਤਾਵਨਾ - ਭਾਰਤ ਦੀ ਸੰਵਿਧਾਨ ਸਭਾ ਨੇ ਸਾਡੇ ਦੇਸ਼ ਦੀ ਰਾਸ਼ਟਰੀ ਭਾਸ਼ਾ

ਸਮਾਜ ਸੇਵਾ - ਇਸ ਸੰਸਥਾ ਦਾ ਮੁੱਖ ਉਦੇਸ਼ ਸਮਾਜ ਦੀ

ਪੰਜਾਬੀ ਸਾਹਿਤ ਅਕਾਦਮੀ ਦੀ ਸਥਾਪਨਾ ਭਾਸ਼ਾ ਵਿਭਾਗ ਪੰਜਾਬ ਵੱਲੋਂ

ਸਾਹਿਤ ਅਕਾਦਮੀ ਦੀ ਸਥਾਪਨਾ ਪੰਜਾਬੀ ਭਾਸ਼ਾ ਦੇ ਵਿਕਾਸ ਲਈ

ਸਾਹਿਤ ਅਕਾਦਮੀ ਦੀ ਸਥਾਪਨਾ ਪੰਜਾਬੀ ਭਾਸ਼ਾ ਦੇ ਵਿਕਾਸ ਲਈ

ਸਾਹਿਤ ਅਕਾਦਮੀ ਦੀ ਸਥਾਪਨਾ ਪੰਜਾਬੀ ਭਾਸ਼ਾ ਦੇ ਵਿਕਾਸ ਲਈ

ਸਾਹਿਤ ਅਕਾਦਮੀ ਦੀ ਸਥਾਪਨਾ ਪੰਜਾਬੀ ਭਾਸ਼ਾ ਦੇ ਵਿਕਾਸ ਲਈ

ਸਾਹਿਤ ਅਕਾਦਮੀ ਦੀ ਸਥਾਪਨਾ ਪੰਜਾਬੀ ਭਾਸ਼ਾ ਦੇ ਵਿਕਾਸ ਲਈ

ਸਾਹਿਤ ਅਕਾਦਮੀ ਦੀ ਸਥਾਪਨਾ ਪੰਜਾਬੀ ਭਾਸ਼ਾ ਦੇ ਵਿਕਾਸ ਲਈ

ਸਾਹਿਤ ਅਕਾਦਮੀ ਦੀ ਸਥਾਪਨਾ ਪੰਜਾਬੀ ਭਾਸ਼ਾ ਦੇ ਵਿਕਾਸ ਲਈ

Forwarded in the
Petition lee

16/8/18

G.R.S. 26]

2 drafts

6

File No. 188

Serial No 3

Diary No. of letter replied to 3108

(3)

No. H 5112

General and Rev. Secretariat
(Gen: Fin)
, *the* 15-2- 1906

To

The Sb. of the
Mysore,

Date of issue — do

Signed by M V Sathyanarayana Shetty

Sir,

In forwarding herewith for reference and resubmission the enclosures of your letter no: 663 of the 23. 12. 1905, together with a petition received in this office from Amba bai of the 4. 2. 1906, praying that the allowances due to certain female members of the late Bakshi Siva Rao's family may be paid to them from the realizations of the Inam

over

SUBJECT.

13

Villages now under Govt. management as a security for the loan granted to Musalib Rama Rao, I have the honour to request you to be so good as to make a full Enquiry & report in detail on the several claims including that of Radhabai, together with any documents they may have, in support of their respective claims.

I have &c:

14.2.06

Secretary.

KS. 12/2/06

12.2.06

List of enclosures,

Copied by 14/2

Examined by

MGCPB—20,000-19-12-04.

2 drafts 5

G.R.S. 26]

File No.

Serial No

Diary No. of letter replied to 3608

No. H 5713

General and Rev. Secretariat

( )

, *the* 15-2-1906

To

Date of issue —do—

Signed by W Sathyaraj Hetty

SUBJECT.

14.2.06

K.S.

12.2.06

11 Jun 1911

1906 D4903

4

4 –

Genl Nos 188905

From The Municipal Magistrate

To The Secretary Land Revenue Depot

Dated 21 May

Despatched 22

Received

General No. 1209

No.

Enclosure No. 166

Reports re allowances claimed by certain relations of Musaheb Ramaltan.

No 1209
In 1906

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Mysore Division
Dated Mysore 21st March 1906

To

B K V Narayanaswamy Esqr
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Financial and Revenue Department

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No 5712
Fl. No 188-05-3 dated the 15th February
1906 regarding certain allowances
claimed by Ambabayi and others
out of the Revenues of the Inam villages
viz Maddur, Kalhalli &c now under
Government management of Musahib
Ramachar, I have the honor to state
as follows:-

2. The Amildar of the Nanjangud
Taluk, who held an enquiry in the
matter, reports that out of the 5 Inam
villages, 3 were in the enjoyment of
Musahib Rama Rao's brother Sivaram
which were also subsequently purchased

* 1. Kalhalli
2. Kathivalu
3. Maddur
4. Kallahalli
5. Mandanahalli

by

by Ramalan On the Security of these
5 villages, Ramalan obtained a loan
of Rs 25,000/- from Government. From
the information furnished by the Amil
dar a genealogical tree prepared in the
office is enclosed for reference and the
will show the respective relation of the
several claimants in question to Mus
-saheb Ramalan. In the Schedule A.
annexed to Government Proceedings Camp No
339-41 dated the 6th June 1893 Sanctioning
the loan to Musaheb Ramalan, a sum
of Rs 750/- is provided for expenditure
on account of annuities payable to
Brahmins and female members of the
family, the net revenue being taken
towards the reduction of the loan. The
point whether the present claimants
are the female members referred to in
the above schedule is made clear by the
Copy of judgment in Second appeal No 116
of 1885 on the file of the Chief Court of
Mysore passed in the case of Ambabove

this only surviving daughter out of the 3 daughters of Siva Rao and Parvathi bayi. The two remaining daughters are dead and their maintenance allowances are claimed by their two daughters. Both the Amildar and the Assistant Commissioner doubt as to how & under what authority the daughters can claim the allowances that their mothers used to get. To ascertain this, notices are reported to have been issued to all the claimants by the Nanjangud Taluk Amildar, but none of them has appeared before him to prove her title. In some cases, the notices have been served after the date fixed for the hearing of their claims, but as they reside at Bangalore, they have remark on the back of the notices that their enquiry may be referred either to the Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District or to the Amildar of the Bangalore Taluk.

3. The daughters of Sannamma claim

besides the allowances due to
the Thevo, a further sum of Rs 600/- (from
the Revenues of the village) due to them
on a Pro-note executed in their favour
by Mudaliar Ramalan - On behalf of
them, one G. Narain Rau in his petition
to this office states that he would take
legal steps to recover the same from
the deputy Commissioner and Mudaliar
Ramalan jointly -

4. The Amildar concludes his report
by saying that if these charges are
allowed to be deducted from the Revenues
of the Drain villages - which are also
Comparatively small, the repayments towards
the Loan would also be small,
and as such these ——— Charges may
be admitted after the Loan is fully
discharged - I had taken the same view
when Ambabogi and others applied
to me for their allowances and gave
their endorsements to that effect - The
petitions (herewith returned)

2

evidently appeals against the above order.

5. The Vernacular correspondence ending with the Nanjangud Sheristadr's Communication Nadir No 1223/2812 dated 10th May 1906 is herewith submitted for reference

6. As regards Kashabayi's claim, it is ascertained that she is the wife of the 2nd son of Munsabdar Ramachar and her claim is in connection with an annual allowance of Rs 110/- paid by Munsabdar Ramachar towards her maintenance and I therefore think that her claim is not in any way connected with the Revenues of the Inam villages -

I have the honour to be

Sir,

(Your most obedient servant)

Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District

Geneological tree



Asst Secretary
Deputy Commissioner
(Nazore Dist)

Sl. (1)

Proceedings of the Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read:-

(1). Petition dated the 27th November 1905, from Radhabai, daughter-in-law of Musaheb Rama Rao, claiming a maintenance allowance of Rs.110 a year by virtue of an alleged agreement between herself and her father-in-law, to be paid out of the income of the Inam villages now under the management of Government.

Papers under disposal.

(2). Letter No.1209 dated the 21st May 1906, from the Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District, reporting upon the above petition and upon the claims of the grand daughters of the late Bakshi Siva Rao to the annuities to which their mothers (daughters of Siva Rao) were entitled.

Papers rec.

Order thereon:No.J. 36/2335-6 & 188-05-47

Dated Bangalore the 17th Septr 1906.

x Five villages, nominally named, were granted as Inam in 1818 to Bakshi Bheema Rao by H.H. the late Maharaja Krishnaraja Wadiyar Bahadur. Bakshi Bheema Rao died leaving him surviving two sons, Bakshi Siva Rao and Musaheb Rama Rao. Subsequent to a partition the inam lands between the brothers Bakshi Siva Rao died and his widow

x
1.Kaneyancor.
2.Kalhalli(anjungud Taluk).
3.addur.
4.Hatnvalu.
5.Mandanahalli.
(Mysore Taluk).

Parvati Bai gifted certain portions of his share of the Inam Estate in favor of his three daughters, his sole heirs; and later on, sold her husband's estate, subject to the terms and conditions of the gift to her husband's divided brother, Rusahib Rama Rao. The latter obtained from Government a loan of Rs.22,000 on the mortgage of all the Inam lands (including those purchased from Parvati Bai); and these have since then been under the management of Government. Of the three daughters of the late Bakshi Siva Rao, one, Amba Bayee, is alive; the two (Tulsi Bayi & Sannamma) are dead but are understood to have left some daughters.

2. The Judgment of the Chief Court in Second Appeal No.116 of 1885 makes it clear that the right conveyed to the daughters of Bakshi Siva Rao under the deed executed by their mother Parvati Bayi, was " the full and complete proprietary right" in the landed properties the subject of the gift. The only question now is whether the daughters of the deceased Tulsi Bayi and Sannamma are the proper heirs of their mothers, or whether the deceased ladies have left preferential heirs. The Deputy Commissioner of Mysore is requested to cause enquiries to be made on the subject thro' the Deputy Commissioner of Bangalore, in which District the ties reside, and to report the resu In case of doubt the parties will have to be informed that their claims to the annuities paid to their mothers cannot be recognized in the absence of a

certificate of succession in their favor from a competent civil court.

3. As regards the other petitioner, Radha Bayi, widow of Musaheb Rama Rao's deceased's son, there are no grounds whatever for recognizing the existence of any claim on her part as against the net revenues of the inam lands under the management of Government. She will be informed accordingly.

SECRETARY.

To

The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore Dt.

The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

D 4150 D669 25

1907–08.

(15)

Pol Fin File 188-05

From The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore

To The Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore
Govt and Revenue Dept

Dated 16th
Despatched 12th August 1907
Received

General No. 87.
No.

Enclosure No. one file

Submitting
herewith a record
and a genealogi-
cal tree showing
the relationship
of the members of
the late Diwan Sir
Sir A Rao's family
of Mysore

26

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District
Dated Mysore 30th August 1907

To.

C. S. Balasundram Iyer Esqre B.A.
Offg Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
General & Revenue Departments

Sir,

Adverting to para 2 of Government Proceedings No. 7L 2335-6/GL Fin 188-05-4 dated the 17th September 1906, requesting me to enquire and report whether the daughters of the deceased Tulasi Bayee and Sannamma of Bakshi Siva Rows family are the proper heirs of their mothers or whether the deceased ladies have left preferential heirs I have the honor to submit herewith a genealogical tree showing the relationship of the members of the late Bakshi Siva Rows family, which clearly explains the position called for the Government or

2 From the above genealogy it will be seen that one of the deceased ladies named Lamamma has left a son by name Mr. N. K. Srinivasa Rao B. A. B.L. and three daughters, while the other Tulsi Boyee has left an only daughter by name Venkatroye alias Puttamma.

3. The vernacular correspondence together with the statements of Amba Boyee and Mr. G. Narayana Rao as also the petition bearing Registered No 85/ dated 1st August 1907 received from the above Mr. N. K. Srinivasa Rao is herewith submitted for your kind perusal.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Genealogical tree



Deputy Commissioner
Mysore district

G.R.S. 28]

8K (16(A)

29

ab
1-11

File No. 188-05

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to 669

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(Jl. Sec:)

, *the* 190

Date of issue 25 Oct 05/07

Signed by [illegible]

READ.—

Para 2 of Lr. o: no: H: 2335-6/Jl: Sec: 188-05-4 dtd the 17th September 1906, re-affirming the right of the daughters of the late Bakshi Siva Rao to certain portions of the Inam villages now under the management of Govt: as Security for the loan granted to Mr. Munsaheb Rama Rao in Lr. o. no: H: 339-41 dtd the 9.6.1893. and calling for a report whether the claimants Venkuboji + others are the proper

over

SUBJECT

heirs of their deceased mothers Thulasibaji & Saraswamma, & whether the latter have left preferential heirs.

2) Letter, No: 89 dtd 10.8.07, from the Dy. Coll. of the Mysore Dt. Submitting a report together with a genealogical tree.

order thereon:— No H 1887-8
Dtd 188-08-16
& 25-10-07

From the genealogical tree furnished by the Dy. Coll. of the Mysore Dt; it is seen that claimant Venkubaji is the only daughter & sole heir of the deceased Thulasibaji & that Saraswamma has left behind her three daughters & one Son (Mr. T. K. Srinivasa Rao)

As Mr. Srinivasa Rao has in his written statement dtd 1.8.07 to the Dy. Coll. Mysore Dt; consented to the payment to his Sisters of the annuity due

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

Samanna Govt: are pleased to authorize the Dy: Coll: to disburse out of the Sum of Rs: 750 reserved out of the income of the Estate on account of annuities for Brahmins & female members of the family the Sum of Rs: 644-6-0 as detailed below for each of the three years during which the villages have been under the management of Govt: and also in future:—

(1) Venkubaji Rs: 204-6-0.

(2) Amba baji Rs: 220-0-0.

(3) Samanna's three daughters Rs: 220-0-0.

Total Rs: 644-6-0.

1.5.07.

In Fin: Sec: Govt

to the Govt: to Govt:

the Dy. Coll Tanjore &c. advised in

K.S.

15.10.07

MGCPB—30,000—5-4-05.

G.R.S.-26]

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

No. జి 1889
జిపా 188-08-16
తే 26-10-07

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, *the* 190 .

To

Date of issue _____

Signed by _____

over.

SUBJECT.

33

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

MGCPB—30,000—16-2-05

Sl

17

Ty 15.117 5687/8

1907–08

From The Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

To: The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Dept

Dated 13th } November
Despatched 14 } 1907
Received

General No. 290
No.

Enclosure No. 2nd C

---

stating that the
annuities due
to Sreekanta Sastry
of Nelamangala
&c. will be paid
after the ensuing
Collection Season
begins as the realiza-
tions of the Income
has been credited
towards liquidation
of the Loan

17
Ft 188-05.
L.

Deputy Commr's Office,
Mysore District
Dated Mysore 13 November 1907.

C. S. Balasundaram Ayer Esq
B. A.
Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
Genl: and Rev: Departments
Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to Government Proceedings No. Ft 1876-7/Gl. Fin 188-05-15 and Ft 1887-8/Gl. Fin 188-05-16 dated the 24th and 25th October 1907 respectively, authorizing the payment of the annuities due to Sankara Sastry of Nilamangala and Venkoo Boyee and others of Musaheb Nr. Rama Rao's family, I have the honor to state that the realizations of the Inam Villages of the debtor having been entirely credited towards the liquidation of the loan, there are no funds at present in the "Personal Deposits" of the Deputy Commissioner to meet the demands.

The annuities ordered to be disbursed will therefore be paid after the ensuing collection season begins.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

H. Thuillier
Deputy Commissioner
of Mysore District

(181)

G.R.S. 26] ab 30-11

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to 1687

No. Jl 2529
Gl Jn 188-05
27-11-07

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Gl: Jn:)

, *the* 190

To The Dy. Commissioner,

Guntur.

Date of issue 27 Nov 07

Signed by M Sriram Iyengar

Sir,

Adverting to the correspondence ending with your letter No. 290 of the 13-11-07, stating that the annuities due to Sankara Sastry & others out of the realizations from the villages mortgaged to Gal: from Mr. Musaheb Rama Rao will be paid to them after the ensuing collection season begins, I am directed to state that this may be intimated to the parties concerned at a very early date. I have etc

over.

26/11/07

23-11-07

In Jn: Sec/1

K.S.

23/11/07

SUBJECT.

by name Venkuboyi alias Jowamma.

16-A. To the Compl[t] D.C. Mysore. No. 7C. 1887-89 dt 25/[illegible]
Authorizes the D.C. to disburse from the Prophasis Sheet, d
the Sum of Rs 644-6-0 out Rs 750 Reserved for
annuities for Brahmins and female members of the family
to Venkubai, Ambabai and the three daughters
of Seemamma.

To Ambabai No. 7C 1889 dt 26-10-07.

Informs that the D.C Mysore has been
requested to pay as ordered above.

17) From the D.C. Mysore. No 190 dt 14-11-07
States that the annuities due to Seikanta Sai
and Ambabai will be paid after the ensuing
collection season begins as the realizations
of the Inam Villages has been credited towards
the liquidation of the loan.

18) To the Deputy Comm[r] No 7C 25 19 dt 27-11-07
Requests him to inform the claimants
that the money due to them will be paid
after the ensuing collection season begins